

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

BARBARA POLLEY,	§	
Plaintiff	§	
	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:11-cv-59
vs.	§	
	§	Jury Trial Demanded
REGENT ASSET MANAGEMENT	§	
SOLUTIONS, INC.,	§	
Defendant	§	

ORIGINAL COMPLAINT

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

NATURE OF ACTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual plaintiff for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act, Chapter 392 (hereinafter "TDCPA") and the Texas Business and Commerce Code, Subchapter E, Chapter 17, (hereinafter "DTPA"), which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.

2. Plaintiff seeks to recover monetary damages for Defendant's violation of the FDCPA, the TDCPA and the DTPA, and to have an Order or injunction issued by this Court preventing Defendants from continuing its violative behaviors.

3. Service may be made upon Defendant in any other district in which it may be found pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1337.

5. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), where the acts and transactions giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in this district, where Plaintiff resides in this district, and/or where Defendant transacts business in this district.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, Barbara Polley ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in Bosque County.

7. Plaintiff is a consumer as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and the Texas Business and Commerce Code section §17.50(a)(1) and Texas Finance Code §392.001(1).

8. Defendant, Regent Asset Management Solutions, Inc. ("Defendant") is an entity who at all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5) and by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(6).

9. Defendant is a “debt collector” as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(2).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. Plaintiff is a natural person obligated, or allegedly obligated, to pay a debt owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.

11. Plaintiff's obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant, arises from a transaction in which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes and Plaintiff incurred the obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.

12. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due another.

13. Defendant caused Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly and continuously with the intent to harass Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt that does not belong to Plaintiff. (15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5), Tex Fin Code § 392.302(4)).

14. Defendant placed multiple calls to Plaintiff's residence, and on no fewer than two occasions, left a message requesting that Plaintiff contact Defendant regarding a "lawsuit." (15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(10)).

15. Defendant, via its agent and/or employee "Georgia Hawthorne," received notice from Plaintiff via telephone call on November 4, 2010 @ 1:35 P.M. that Plaintiff did not owe the alleged debt Defendant was attempting to collect and did not know the location of the alleged debtor Defendant was attempting to collect from.

16. Plaintiff requested that all calls from Defendant cease, but Defendant falsely represented that it had the "right" to contact Plaintiff anytime for information, and that such calls would continue despite Plaintiff's requests. (15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10), Tex Fin Code § 392.304(a)(19)).

17. Defendant initiated non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's residential telephone line on November 2, 2010, November 4, 2010 and November 7, 2010, utilizing an artificial or pre-recorded voice to deliver a message without the prior express consent of Plaintiff. (47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B)).

18. Defendant's actions constitute conduct highly offensive to a reasonable person.

COUNT I--FDCPA

19. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above.

20. Defendant's aforementioned conduct violated the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the FDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k, in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II--TDCPA

21. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation above.

22. Defendant violated the Texas Debt Collection Practices Act in one or more of the following ways:

- a. Causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously, or making repeated or continuous telephone calls, with the intent to harass Plaintiff. (Tex Fin Code § 392.302(4));
- b. Using false representations or deceptive means to collect a debt or obtain information concerning a consumer. (Tex Fin Code § 392.304(a)(19));
- c. Using false representations or deceptive means to collect a debt or obtain information concerning a consumer, including (Tex Fin Code § 392.304(a)(19)).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the TDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages pursuant to the TDCPA;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages pursuant to the TDCPA;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT III—DTPA

23. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference herein all prior paragraphs above.

24. This suit is brought, in part, under the authority of Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41 et seq., commonly known as the Deceptive Trade Practices and Plaintiff Protection Act and cited in this petition as the “DTPA”.

25. Defendant was given notice in writing of the claims made in this Petition more than sixty days before this suit was filed in the manner and form required by DTPA §17.505 (a).

26. Defendant made numerous material misrepresentations in an attempt to collect the purported consumer debt as detailed above.

27. Defendant knew or should have known that its representations were false and/or acted in reckless disregard for the truth or falsity of its representations.

28. Defendant’s actions were done maliciously and in willful, wanton and reckless disregard for the rights of the Plaintiff.

29. Defendant’s actions as detailed above constitute a violation of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Tex. Bus. & Com. Code § 17.41.

30. The conduct described above has been and is a producing and proximate cause of damages to Plaintiff. Plaintiff’s damages include: Statutory damages of at least \$100.00 per violation, actual/economic damages, emotional

and/or mental anguish damages, exemplary/punitive damages, and Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs.

31. Additionally, Plaintiff seeks an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendants' conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.

32. Plaintiff seeks reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in this case through the time judgment is entered and for any post-trial or post-judgment appeals, to be detailed through a statement of services submitted at the appropriate time.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment as follows:

- (a) a declaratory judgment be entered that Defendant's conduct violated the DTPA;
- (b) an award for all actual damages, exemplary damages, emotional/mental anguish damages and all statutory additional damages, all attorneys fees, costs of court, and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the highest lawful rates.
- (c) an award of discretionary additional damages in an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic damages if Defendant's conduct

is found to have been committed knowingly; or an amount not to exceed three times the amount of economic and mental anguish damages if Defendant's conduct is found to have been committed intentionally.

(d) such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY

33. Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Dennis R. Kurz
Dennis R. Kurz
Texas State Bar # 24068183
Attorney in Charge for Plaintiff

WEISBERG & MEYERS, L.L.C.
Two Allen Center
1200 Smith Street
16th Floor
Houston, TX 77002
(888) 595-9111 ext. 412
(866) 842-3303 (fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on March 8th, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the U.S. District Court, Western District of Texas, Waco Division, using the electronic case filing system of the court.

/s/ Dennis R. Kurz
Dennis R. Kurz